

Message

From: D'Amico, Louis [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=78A91F83C4414910BE286EFE02004DBC-D'AMICO, LOUIS J.]
Sent: 1/16/2014 2:51:17 PM
To: Sasso, Alan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8cb867519abc4dcea88149d12ef3e8e9-Sasso, Alan]; Blessinger, Todd [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f5240ca690c84f8fb20bac6fd7273fd5-Blessinger, Todd]
CC: Rieth, Susan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=00aac63cc995489188b8a449aaa18f5e-Rieth, Susan]
Subject: RDX: datasets brought up by workgroups
Attachments: RDX-Tox Review-Version 9.1_UNFORMATTED_10-17-13-JG.docx; JGift review summary 10-29-13.docx; MS Notes for RDX11-5-13-3p-swg.docx; RDX - Tox Review - Version 9.1 HERONET-ms11-5-neuro+stat.DOCX; RDX-Supplemental Materials-[Appendices]-Version 8_UNFORMATTED_10-17-13-JG.docx; RDX-Supplemental Materials-[Appendices]-Version 8_UNFORMATTED_10-17-13-ms-11-5.docx; RDX Tasks.docx

I've gone through the notes from the workgroups, and when comments bare on statistical issues, I've tried to summarize them here, or included the attachments (e.g., the swg comments are attached here). I've also attached a document which has all the comments received from the workgroups (if you're feeling like you need to dig into that). I'd appreciate hearing your thoughts.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

-Lou

Louis D'Amico, Ph.D.
Biologist, Quantitative Modeling Branch, IRIS Program
National Center for Environmental Assessment | U.S. EPA - Office of Research and Development
Mail Code 8601P | 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW | Washington, DC 20460

Physical/Overnight Delivery Address: U.S. EPA | Two Potomac Yard (North Building), N-7225 | 2733 S. Crystal Drive | Arlington, VA 22202

Phone: 703-347-0344 | Fax: 703-347-8689 | email: d'amico.louis@epa.gov